Veterinary World

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Determinants of prolonged weaning-to-service interval in primiparous Landrace × Yorkshire sows under tropical conditions: Impact of age at first farrowing, lactation length, and litter size at weaning



Nguyen Hoai Nam¹, Do Thi Kim Lanh¹, Nguyen Van Thanh¹, Bui Van Dung¹, and Peerapol Sukon^{2,3}

- 1. Department of Animal Surgery and Theriogenology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Vietnam National University of Agriculture, Hanoi, Vietnam.
- 2. Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Khon Kaen University, 123 Moo 16 Mittraphap Rd., Nai-Muang, Muang, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand.
- 3. KKU Research Program, Khon Kaen University, 123 Moo 16 Mittraphap Rd., Nai-Muang, Muang, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand.

ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Primiparous sows are particularly vulnerable to prolonged weaning-to-service interval (WSI), which negatively impacts reproductive efficiency and farm profitability. This study aimed to identify critical risk factors associated with prolonged WSI (>6 days) in first-parity Landrace × Yorkshire sows raised under tropical conditions.

Materials and Methods: A retrospective cohort analysis was performed using production records from 3,222 sows on a commercial farm in Central Vietnam. Data on age at first artificial insemination, age at first farrowing (AFF), lactation length (LL), litter size at weaning (LSW), number born alive (NBA), and litter birth weight (LBW) were analyzed. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to identify predictors of prolonged WSI.

Results: Prolonged WSI was observed in 34.6% of sows. Multivariate analysis identified three significant predictors: (1) Early AFF (302–360 days) was associated with higher odds of prolonged WSI compared to older age groups (odds ratio [OR] range: 0.38-0.51, p < 0.001). (2) Short LL (12–22 days) increased WSI risk, while LL of 25–26 days had the lowest risk (OR = 0.39, p < 0.001). (3) Higher LSW (≥ 12 piglets) was positively associated with prolonged WSI (OR = 0.39, 0.001). (3) Higher LSW (0.001) was positively associated with prolonged WSI.

Conclusions: Early AFF, shorter LL, and larger LSW are key risk factors for prolonged WSI. Management practices that optimize gilt development (target AFF >360 days), extend lactation to ~25 days, and avoid excessive LSW (>11 piglets) may reduce WSI and enhance reproductive performance under tropical conditions.

Keywords: age at first farrowing, lactation length, litter size at weaning, primiparous sows, reproductive performance, tropical swine production, weaning-to-service interval.

INTRODUCTION

Primiparous sows represent a significant proportion of breeding herds worldwide [1–3]. Unlike multiparous sows, they continue to grow during both gestation and their first lactation cycle [4–6]. As such, their nutritional and energy requirements must support not only fetal development and milk production but also their own somatic growth [7, 8]. However, primiparous sows typically exhibit lower feed intake during lactation and experience greater losses in body

weight, backfat, adipose tissue, and protein reserves compared to their multiparous counterparts [9]. These physiological challenges predispose them to reproductive inefficiencies, including second litter syndrome, reported in approximately 46%–57% of sows [10–12], and extended weaning-to-service intervals (WSI) [9, 13–15].

The WSI is a critical reproductive metric that influences overall productivity, especially under both tropical and temperate conditions. Prolonged WSI

Corresponding Author: Peerapol Sukon

E-mail: sukonp@kku.ac.th

 $\textbf{Received:}\ 09\text{-}03\text{-}2025, \textbf{Accepted:}\ 23\text{-}06\text{-}2025, \textbf{Published online:}\ 27\text{-}07\text{-}2025$

Co-authors: NHN: nguyenhoainam@vnua.edu.vn, DTKL: dtklanh@vnua.edu.vn, NVT: thanhnv81@vnua.edu.vn, BVD: bvdung@vnua.edu.vn

How to cite: Nam NH, Lanh DTK, Thanh NV, Dung BV, Sukon P (2025) Determinants of prolonged weaning-to-service interval in primiparous Landrace × Yorkshire sows under tropical conditions: Impact of age at first farrowing, lactation length, and litter size at weaning, Veterinary World, 18(7): 2031–2038.

Copyright: Nam, *et al*. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



contributes substantially to non-productive days in sow herds [16]. Studies conducted in Japan and Thailand have demonstrated that WSI affects farrowing rates [17, 18], litter sizes [19, 20], and culling rates [21]. For instance, in tropical settings, sows inseminated within 0-6 days post-weaning had significantly higher farrowing rates than those mated after 7-20 days (86.8% vs. 78.9%) [17]. A similar pattern was observed under temperate climates, where farrowing rates dropped from 85% to 72% when insemination occurred after 6 days [18]. In Brazil, peak farrowing rates were recorded in sows bred 3–5 days post-weaning, regardless of parity status [22]. In addition, larger litter sizes and reduced culling rates were observed in sows served within 1-5 or 4–6 days post-weaning compared to those bred beyond day 6 or 7 in both Thai and Japanese herds [18, 20, 21].

Several risk factors associated with prolonged WSI have been extensively studied. Research from Australia, the United States, China, and the Netherlands indicates an inverse relationship between lactation length (LL) and WSI [15, 23-25], although some exceptions exist, such as a positive association reported in Brazilian herds [26]. Consistent findings from Thailand, Vietnam, Lithuania, and the Netherlands suggest that higher parity is associated with a reduction in WSI duration [13-14, 25, 27]. Contrasting results have been reported regarding litter size at weaning (LSW); some studies, such as those by Vesseur et al. [25] and Sterning et al. [28], describe a positive relationship with WSI, while a study by Alexopoulos et al. [29] reports a negative correlation. Moreover, excessive weight loss during lactation has been shown to delay the return to estrus and extend WSI [28, 30, 31].

Emerging data from Vietnam highlight a negative association between litter size at birth and WSI [27, 32], contradicting earlier findings from Sweden [28]. Other potential contributors to prolonged WSI include environmental and management-related factors such as housing systems [25], seasonal effects [14, 25], age at puberty [33], temperature-humidity index [33–35], gilt body weight at first mating [7], and nutritional strategies [8]. Reproductive parameters such as split suckling [36], manual farrowing assistance, stillbirth occurrence, vaginal discharge duration, and gestation length have also been explored [27]. Serenius *et al.* [37] have focused specifically on how the age at first insemination and age at first farrowing (AFF) influence WSI outcomes in primiparous sows.

Despite the extensive literature exploring factors influencing the WSI in sows, the majority of existing studies have focused on mixed-parity populations or multiparous sows, with limited attention given exclusively to primiparous sows — a group inherently different in physiological and metabolic profiles. Primiparous sows, due to their continued growth and immature reproductive systems, are more vulnerable to postpartum reproductive disorders, including

prolonged WSI. In addition, while variables such as LL, LSW, body weight changes, environmental stress, and nutritional management have been associated with WSI outcomes, the specific influence of early-life reproductive traits – particularly age at first artificial insemination (AFAI) and AFF – remains underexplored. These factors may be critical in shaping postpartum reproductive performance, especially under tropical climatic conditions where heat stress and seasonal variation further compromise sow fertility.

Moreover, previous findings on the effects of LSW and litter size at birth on WSI have been inconsistent and sometimes contradictory across geographic and environmental contexts. Some studies report positive associations, while others suggest negative or no significant correlations. The majority of such work has been conducted in temperate climates, with limited data from tropical, high-humidity environments, which present additional physiological challenges. Thus, there is a significant need for region-specific, parity-specific, and climate-contextualized investigations that clarify the predictors of prolonged WSI in commercial sow operations.

This study aims to comprehensively evaluate the risk factors associated with prolonged WSI in primiparous Landrace × Yorkshire sows managed under tropical conditions in Central Vietnam. Specifically, it seeks to: (1) Quantify the effect of AFAI and AFF on the likelihood of prolonged WSI. (2) Assess the influence of LL and LSW on post-weaning reproductive recovery. (3) Identify optimal thresholds for key management parameters (e.g., LL and AFF) that may minimize the risk of prolonged WSI without compromising lifetime productivity.

By focusing on a large dataset from a commercial herd (n = 3,222 sows), this study aims to provide evidence-based recommendations to enhance reproductive efficiency, guide gilt development strategies, and optimize herd productivity in tropical production systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval

This retrospective study utilized existing farm production records and did not involve any direct interventions or experimental procedures involving animals. Therefore, formal ethical approval was not required. Nonetheless, all data collection procedures adhered to standard ethical guidelines for the use of animals in research.

Study period and location

The study was conducted from August to December 2024 on a commercial swine farm located in the central region of Vietnam, comprising approximately 5,000 crossbred Landrace × Yorkshire breeding sows. The sows included in the analysis were born between October 2022 and March 2023, with their first farrowing

and lactation periods occurring between October 2023 and July 2024. The regional climate is characterized by an average annual temperature of 22°C–29°C and relative humidity of approximately 85%–86%.

Herd health and breeding management

Before breeding, gilts were vaccinated according to standard protocols against porcine circovirus, *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae*, foot-and-mouth disease, Aujeszky's disease virus, porcine parvovirus, class-ical swine fever, *Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae*, and *Escherichia coli*. Gilts were group-housed until puberty and inseminated during their second or third estrus once they reached a minimum body weight of 135 kg. Inseminations were conducted twice using fresh semen (>75% motility; >3 billion sperm cells/dose).

Post-breeding, sows were revaccinated against key pathogens. During gestation, sows received 2.2–2.4 kg/day of a commercial diet containing ~14% crude protein and 15 MJ/kg metabolizable energy. During lactation, sows were fed *ad libitum* on a diet containing ~16% crude protein and similar energy levels. Feed allowances after weaning were 2.5–3.0 kg/day, adjusted according to body condition.

Farrowing and lactation management

Natural farrowing was allowed; however, if sows did not farrow by day 116 of gestation, parturition was induced using 175 µg cloprostenol (Hanprost, Hanvet, Vietnam). Dystocic sows were assisted with oxytocin (20 IU, DonaVet, Vietnam) or manual extraction when necessary. Cloprostenol and oxytocin were administered through the perivulvar route.

Cross-fostering was conducted within the first 24 h after birth; split suckling was not performed. Preweaning mortality was approximately 7%. Sows were weaned after an average of 25 days, with 9% weaned before 21 days.

Post-weaning management and estrus detection

Following weaning, sows received a vitamin A, D, and E injection (500,000 IU A, 250,000 IU D, 120 mg E; 6 mL; DonaVet, Vietnam) and were exposed to intact Meishan boars for a minimum of 6 h/day (1 boar/12 sows). Estrus detection was conducted once daily using the backpressure test in the presence of a boar.

Sows not exhibiting estrus within 7 days post-weaning received a second vitamin ADE injection. If estrus was still absent by day 14, a fasting regimen on alternate days was initiated. For sows not returning to estrus by days 18–21, hormonal induction was applied using 400 IU of equine chorionic gonadotropin and 200 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (Heat 5×, Dong Bang Co., Ltd., Korea), administered intramuscularly into the neck. Lighting and boar exposure durations were increased to 12 and 16 h/day, respectively, in refractory cases.

Data collection and variable definition

An Excel database (Microsoft Office 2016, Washington, USA) containing records from 7,590 gilts and sows was provided by the farm. Of these, 3,222 primiparous sows had complete records on:

- Date of first artificial insemination
- Date of first farrowing
- Number born alive (NBA, piglets) Only live-born piglets with birth weights >0.85 kg were included, which may underestimate total live births and LBW.
- Litter birth weight (LBW, kg)
- Date of weaning
- LSW
- Date of first post-weaning service.

From these records, the following variables were computed:

- AFAI (days)
- AFF (days)
- LL (days)
- WSI (days).

Prolonged WSI was defined as >6 days based on classifications by Yatabe *et al.* [38] and Hoshino and Koketsu [18], which link longer intervals to reduced farrowing rates.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., NY, USA). A two-stage logistic regression approach was employed:

- 1. Univariate analysis to assess associations between each independent variable and prolonged WSI.
- 2. Multivariate logistic regression using forward stepwise selection for variables meeting the threshold of p < 0.20.

Independent variables were categorized as follows:

- AFAI: 189–240, 241–250, 251–260, 261–270, 271– 280, 280–436 days
- AFF: 302–360, 361–370, 371–380, 381–390, 391–400, 400–554 days
- NBA: <6, 6–10, 11–15, 16–21 piglets
- LBW: <10, 10–15, 15–18, >18 kg
- LL: 12-22, 23-24, 25-26, 27-28, >28 days
- LSW: <11, 11, 12, 13, 14–18 piglets.

Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. Variables with high multicollinearity (Spearman's $\rho \ge 0.70$) were excluded – AFAI was dropped due to its strong correlation with AFF ($\rho = 0.97$).

Model performance was evaluated using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test and Nagelkerke R^2 . Model selection was guided by the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was computed to assess discriminatory ability. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 (two-tailed).

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics

The average WSI among the 3,222 primiparous sows was 8.7 ± 8.0 days, with 34.6% (1,114/3,222) exhibiting a prolonged WSI (>6 days). The mean AFAI and AFF were 267.7 \pm 32.2 days and 384.6 \pm 32.3 days, respectively. The average LBW and LL were 16.0 \pm 3.4 kg and 25.1 \pm 3.4 days, respectively. The mean NBA and LSW were 12.6 \pm 2.7 and 12.3 \pm 1.5, respectively.

The incidence of prolonged WSI varied across AFAI groups, with the highest rate observed in sows inseminated between 189 and 240 days (56.7%, 242/427). This proportion decreased to 40.8% in the 241–250 day group (156/382) and ranged from 28.3% to 31.9% in those inseminated after 250 days.

A similar trend was observed for AFF, where the youngest group (302–360 days) had the highest incidence of prolonged WSI (55.0%, 298/542). This rate decreased progressively in older age groups, with the lowest incidence recorded in the 381–390 day group (26.4%, 174/660).

No clear pattern was evident across the NBA or LBW categories. The incidence of prolonged WSI across NBA groups ranged from 31.0% (18/58) to 39.1% (133/340), and for LBW groups, from 32.0% (425/1,328) to 39.1% (261/667).

In contrast, LL was inversely associated with prolonged WSI. Sows with a short LL of 12–22 days had the highest incidence (53.7%, 376/700), which decreased to 34.0% (201/592) in those with a LL of 23–24 days and remained relatively stable between 26.8% and 28.9% in sows with LL longer than 24 days.

Regarding LSW, the incidence of prolonged WSI increased with the number of piglets weaned, rising from 29.6% (75/253) in sows weaning fewer than 11 piglets to 40.5% (202/499) in those weaning 14 or more (Table 1).

Univariate analysis

Univariate logistic regression identified significant associations between prolonged WSI and the following factors: AFAI, AFF, LL, and LSW (p < 0.05). No significant associations were found between prolonged WSI and either NBA or LBW (p > 0.05) (Table 1).

Multivariate analysis

The final multivariate logistic regression model included LL, AFF, and LSW as independent predictors of prolonged WSI (Table 2). The model's explanatory power increased with the addition of each variable, as indicated by the Nagelkerke R² values of 0.062, 0.090, and 0.094 for one-, two-, and three-variable models, respectively. Correspondingly, AIC values declined from 4010.857 to 3936.172, and BIC values from 4023.010 to 3960.483, indicating improved model fit.

A mild but statistically significant correlation was observed between AFF and LL (Spearman's ρ = 0.198, p < 0.001), confirming the absence of multicollinearity.

Table 1: Univariate analysis of potential risk factors for prolonged weaning-to-service interval in 3,222 primiparous Landrace × Yorkshire crossbred sows.

Factors	Incidence	OR (95%CI)	p-value
AFAI (days)			
189-240	56.7 (242/427)	1	
241-250	40.8 (156/382)	0.53 (0.40-0.70)	< 0.001
251-260	31.9 (183/574)	0.36 (0.28-0.46)	< 0.001
261-270	28.3 (189/667)	0.30 (0.23-0.39)	< 0.001
271-280	29.0 (145/500)	0.2 (0.24-0.41)	< 0.001
280-436	29.6 (199/672)	0.32 (0.25-0.41)	< 0.001
AFF (days)			
302-360	55.0 (298/542)	1	
361-370	35.6 (147/413)	0.45 (0.35-0.59)	< 0.001
371-380	31.9 (197/618)	0.38 (0.20-0.49)	< 0.001
381-390	26.4 (174/660)	0.29 (0.23-0.37)	< 0.001
391-400	31.1 (127/409)	0.37 (0.28-0.48)	< 0.001
400-554	29.5 (171/580)	0.34 (0.27-0.44)	< 0.001
NBA			
NBA <6	31.0 (18/58)	1	
NBA=6-10	35.3 (208/569)	1.21 (0.68–2.17)	0.514
NBA=11-15	33.8 (762/2255)	1.13 (0.65-1.99)	0.661
NBA=16-21	39.1 (133/340)	1.43 (0.79-2.60)	0.243
LBW (kg)			
<10	35.5 (66/186)	1	
10-15	34.8 (362/1041)	0.97 (0.70-1.34)	0.852
15-18	32.0 (425/1328)	0.86 (0.62-1.18)	0.343
>18	39.1 (261/667)	1.17 (0.83–1.64)	0.366
LL (days)			
12-22	53.7 (376/700)	1	
23–24	34.0 (201/592)	0.44 (0.35-0.56)	< 0.001
25–26	27.5 (231/841)	0.33 (0.26–0.40)	< 0.001
27–28	28.9 (195/675)	0.35 (0.28–044)	< 0.001
>28	26.8 (111/414)	0.32 (0.24-0.41)	< 0.001
LSW (piglets)			
<11	29.6 (75/253)	1	
11	32.2 (131/407)	1.13 (0.80–1.58)	0.493
12	33.1 (361/1090)	1.18 (0.87–1.58)	0.288
13	35.5 (345/973)	1.30 (0.97–1.76)	0.083
14-18	40.5 (202/499)	1.61 (1.17–2.23)	0.004

OR=Odds ratio, 95% CI=95% confidence interval, P=Probability, AFAI=Age at first artificial insemination, AFF=Age at first farrowing, NBA=Number born alive, LBW=Litter birth weight, LL=Lactation length, LSW=Litter size at weaning

The Hosmer-Lemeshow test yielded p = 0.15, indicating good model calibration. The model's discriminatory capacity, assessed by the AUC, was 0.736, suggesting moderate predictive ability.

AFAI was excluded from the final model due to its strong collinearity with AFF (Spearman's ρ = 0.970, p < 0.001).

Significant predictors of prolonged WSI

Both AFF and LL demonstrated a significant negative association with prolonged WSI:

- Sows with an AFF of 302–360 days were at greater risk of prolonged WSI compared to older age groups:
 - 361–370 days: OR = 0.51 (95% CI: 0.39–0.67, p < 0.001)
 - 371–380 days: OR = 0.49 (95% CI: 0.38–0.62, p < 0.001)

Table 2: Multivariate analysis of potential risk factors for prolonged weaning-to-service interval in 3,222 primiparous Landrace×Yorkshire crossbred sows.

Factors	OR (95%CI)	p-value
LL at first parity (d	ays)	
12-22	1	
23-24	0.50 (0.40-0.63)	< 0.001
25-26	0.39 (0.32-0.49)	< 0.001
27-28	0.43 (0.34-0.54)	< 0.001
>28	0.39 (0.30-0.51)	< 0.001
AFF (days)		
302-360	1	
361-370	0.51 (0.39-0.67)	< 0.001
371-380	0.49 (0.38-0.62)	< 0.001
381-390	0.38 (0.29-0.48)	< 0.001
391-400	0.49 (0.37-0.65)	< 0.001
400-554	0.43 (0.33-0.55)	< 0.001
LSW (piglets)		
<11	1	
11	1.22 (0.85-1.74)	0.279
12	1.41 (1.04-1.93)	0.029
13	1.46 (1.07-1.99)	0.018
14-18	1.63 (1.17-2.29)	0.004

OR=Odds ratio, 95% CI=95% confidence interval, P=Probability, LL=Lactation length, AFF=Age at first farrowing, LSW=Litter size at weaning

- 381–390 days: OR = 0.38 (95% CI: 0.29–0.48, p < 0.001)
- 391-400 days: OR = 0.49 (95% CI: 0.37-0.65, p < 0.001)
- 400–554 days: OR = 0.43 (95% CI: 0.33–0.55, p < 0.001).
- Sows with shorter LL (12–22 days) were significantly more likely to have prolonged WSI than those with longer LL:
 - 23-24 days: OR = 0.50 (95% CI: 0.40-0.63, p < 0.001)
 - 25–26 days: OR = 0.39 (95% CI: 0.32–0.49, p < 0.001)
 - 27–28 days: OR = 0.43 (95% CI: 0.34–0.54, p < 0.001)
 - 28 days: OR = 0.39 (95% CI: 0.30-0.51, p < 0.001).

LSW showed a positive association with prolonged WSI:

- Compared to sows weaning <11 piglets:
 - 12 piglets: OR = 1.41 (95% CI: 1.04–1.93, p = 0.029)
 - 13 piglets: OR = 1.46 (95% CI: 1.07-1.99, p = 0.018)
 - 14–18 piglets: OR = 1.63 (95% CI: 1.17–2.29, p = 0.004).

DISCUSSION

Comparison of WSI with previous studies

The average WSI observed in this study (8.7 days) aligns closely with prior reports on primiparous sows. Previous research has documented average WSIs of 8.5 days in Thailand [13], 10.3 days in another Thai

herd [21], 8.1 days in the United States [39], and 11.34 days in Lithuanian herds [14], confirming the consistency of these findings across diverse geographic and management conditions.

LL and its physiological implications

The inverse relationship between LL and WSI observed in this study corroborates earlier findings [27, 34]. One likely explanation is that extended lactation allows for improved energy balance and reduced body weight loss in primiparous sows [31, 40]. In fact, sows may begin regaining weight during days 28–35 of lactation [41], which aids in recovery from the physiological demands of lactation and prepares them for subsequent estrus [40].

Longer lactation periods may also mitigate the effects of heat stress on reproductive performance [34] and stimulate pre- and post-weaning luteinizing hormone (LH) secretion [42]. As antral follicles exceeding 4–5 mm become increasingly LH-dependent [43], elevated LH may enhance follicular growth, increase β -estradiol concentrations in follicular fluid [44], and facilitate earlier estrus onset. The data from this study support these mechanisms, as sows with LLs longer than 22 days exhibited markedly lower WSI incidence, with the optimal benefit observed at 25–26 days, consistent with prior recommendations [45]. This finding offers a practical guideline for herd reproductive management under tropical conditions.

Impact of LSW on WSI

A positive correlation was also evident between LSW and prolonged WSI, echoing earlier research [25, 36, 46]. Experimental studies have shown that reducing litter size late in lactation improves post-weaning reproductive outcomes. For example, split suckling involving only 5–7 piglets after day 21 shortened the weaning-to-estrus interval by a full day [46], and reducing the litter size to 4 piglets from day 18 to weaning reduced the interval from 5.6 to 4.6 days compared to sows with larger litters [36].

Larger LSWs contribute to more pronounced body weight loss during lactation [40], which has been linked to delayed follicular development [23] and increased WSI duration [28, 30, 31]. Thus, managing litter size near weaning may be a critical factor for optimizing post-weaning reproductive efficiency.

Age at first insemination and farrowing as risk factors

The higher incidence of prolonged WSI among sows with an AFAI of 189–240 days and an AFF of 302–360 days suggests that younger gilts may not have attained full physical maturity at the time of first service. This aligns with the findings of Carrión-López *et al.* [7], who observed that increasing gilt body weight from <135 kg to >150 kg at first service reduced the median WSI from 5 to 4 days.

Primiparous sows must allocate energy toward fetal growth, lactation, and their own body development

simultaneously [8]. Those inseminated or farrowed at a younger age likely require more nutrients for somatic growth, rendering them more susceptible to energy deficits during lactation. This nutritional stress may compromise post-weaning recovery, thereby increasing the risk of prolonged WSI.

Lack of association with NBA and LBW

In contrast to some previous studies by Nam and Sukon [27, 32], this study found no significant relationship between NBA and prolonged WSI. A likely explanation lies in the methodological differences: While earlier studies considered all live-born piglets, the present study excluded those weighing <0.85 kg, potentially underestimating NBA and introducing a classification bias.

Similarly, LBW was not associated with WSI. This may be explained by the strong positive correlation between LBW and NBA (Spearman ρ = 0.87, p < 0.001), which could confound the independent effect of LBW on WSI duration.

CONCLUSION

This study identified key predictors of prolonged WSI in 3,222 primiparous Landrace × Yorkshire sows managed under tropical conditions. The average WSI was 8.7 days, with 34.6% of sows experiencing a prolonged interval (>6 days). Multivariate analysis revealed that early AFF (302–360 days), short LL (≤22 days), and large LSW (≥12 piglets) significantly increased the risk of prolonged WSI. In contrast, LLs of 25–26 days and AFFs beyond 361 days were associated with a lower incidence of delayed post-weaning estrus.

Practical implications of these findings include refining gilt management strategies to delay breeding until adequate body maturity (e.g., AFF > 361 days), extending lactation periods to at least 25 days, and cautious management of weaning litter sizes to mitigate excessive metabolic drain. These management adjustments may contribute to reduced non-productive days and improved reproductive efficiency in tropical herd settings.

The strengths of this study lie in its large, uniform cohort of first-parity sows, the comprehensive nature of the on-farm production data, and the context-specific analysis conducted under tropical environmental conditions — an area underrepresented in the current literature.

However, several limitations must be acknowledged. The study was conducted on a single commercial farm, which may affect external validity. Excluding data for piglets under 0.85 kg could underestimate litter parameters, and the absence of physiological indicators, such as hormonal profiles, body condition scores, or stress markers, limits mechanistic interpretations. In addition, lactational estrus — an influential but unmeasured variable — could not be factored into WSI assessments

Future research should incorporate multi-farm datasets across diverse climatic regions and integrate physiological biomarkers, endocrine monitoring, and longitudinal reproductive tracking. Investigating genetic predispositions to prolonged WSI and evaluating the cost-benefit impact of varying LL and AFF thresholds on sow lifetime productivity would further advance this field.

This study provides novel evidence supporting the role of AFF, lactation duration, and LSW as critical determinants of prolonged WSI in primiparous sows under tropical management. These insights provide practical recommendations to optimize gilt development, enhance sow reproductive performance, and improve productivity in commercial swine operations in heat-stressed regions.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The supplementary data can be made available from the corresponding author upon request.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

NHN, DTKL, NVT, BVD, and PS: Conceived and designed the study. NHN: Collected data. NHN and PS: Analyzed data, interpreted the results, and drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the farm owners for their consent to data collection and to the veterinarians for their assistance in data collection. The authors did not receive any funds for this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

Veterinary World remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in the published institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Sanz-Fernández, S., Díaz-Gaona, C., Simões, J., Casas-Rosal, J.C., Alòs, N., Tusell, L., Quintanilla, R. and Rodríguez-Estévez, V. (2024) The impact of herd age structure on the performance of commercial sow-breeding farms. *Porcine Health Manag.*, 10: 56.
- Muniz, H.C.M., Ceron, M.S., Fraga, B.N., Pacheco, P.S., Gasa-Gasó, J. and Oliveira, V. (2024) Modelling the impact of sow replacement rate on farm performance. Rev. Bras. Zootec., 53: e20230037.
- Wijesiriwardana, U.A., Craig, J.R., Cottrell, J.J., Dunshea, F.R. and Pluske, J.R. (2022) Animal board invited review: Factors affecting the early growth and development of gilt progeny compared to sow progeny. *Animals (Basel)*, 16(8): 100596.

- Upadhaya, S., Seok, W., Kumar, S., Van der Veen, R. and Kim, I. (2023) Marine derived Ca-Mg complex supplementation basal diet during four subsequent parities improved longevity and performance of sows and their litters. J. Anim. Sci. Technol., 65(3): 562–578.
- 5. Belkova, J. and Rozkot, M. (2022) Gilt rearing impacts on sow performance and longevity-a review. *J. Swine Health Prod.*, 30(1): 10–16.
- Kiszlinger, H.N. and Hovarth, A. (2021) The effect of parity number on the growth performance of piglets around weaning. *Acta Agrar. Kaposvariensis*, 25(2): 7–19.
- Carrión-López, M.J., Madrid, J., Martínez, S., Hernández, F. and Orengo, J. (2022) Effects of the feeding level in early gestation on body reserves and the productive and reproductive performance of primiparous and multiparous sows. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, 148: 42–51.
- Carrión-López, M.J. and Orengo, J. (2022) Effect of sow body weight at first service on body status and performance during first parity and lifetime. *Animals* (*Basel*), 12(23): 3399.
- Strathe, A.V., Bruun, T.S. and Hansen, C.F. (2017) Sows with high milk production had both a high feed intake and high body mobilization. *Animal*, 11: 1913–1921.
- Nam, N.H., Khoudphaithoune, T., Lanh, D.T.K., Thanh, N.V., Truong, N.D., Toan, N.C., Dung, B.V., Dao, B.T.A. and Sukon, P. (2024) Effects of second litter syndrome on reproductive performance in sows. *Vet. World*, 17(8): 1680–1684.
- Sanz-Fernández, S., Díaz-Gaona, C., Casas-Rosal, J.C., Quintanilla, R., López, P., Alòs, N. and Rodríguez-Estévez, V. (2022) Second litter syndrome in Iberian pig breed: Factors influencing the performance. *Animal*, 16(12): 100675.
- 12. Sell-Kubiak, E., Knol, E.F., Mulder, H.A. and Pszczola, M. (2021) Unraveling the actual background of second litter syndrome in pigs: Based on Large White data. *Animal*, 15(2): 100033.
- 13. Chansomboon, C., Elzo, M., Suwanasopee, T. and Koonawootrittriron, S. (2009) Genetic and environmental factors affecting weaning-to-first service interval in a landrace-large white swine population in Northern Thailand. *Kasetsart J.*, 43: 669–679.
- Karvelienė, B., Šernienė, L. and Riškevičienė, V. (2008) Effect of different factors on weaning-to-firstservice interval in Lithuanian pig herds. Vet. Zootec., 41(63): 64–69.
- Koketsu, Y. and Dial, G.D. (1997a) Factors associated with prolonged weaning-to-mating interval among sows on farms that wean pigs early. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 211(7): 894–898.
- Segura-Correa, J., Ek-Mex, J.E., Muñoz-Osorio, G., Santos-Ricalde, R., Sarmiento-Franco, L. and Calderón-Diaz, J.A. (2023) Associations between first parity weaning to service interval and sow lifetime productivity traits. *TSAES*, 26: 64.
- Tummaruk, P., Tantasuparuk, W., Techakumphu, M. and Kunavongkrit, A. (2010) Influence of repeatservice and weaning-to-first-service interval on

- farrowing proportion of gilts and sows. *Prev. Vet. Med.*, 96(3–4): 194–200.
- Hoshino, Y. and Koketsu, Y. (2008) A repeatability assessment of sows mated 4-6 days after weaning in breeding herds. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 108(1–2): 22–28.
- Tummaruk, P., Lundeheim, N., Einarsson, S. and Dalin, A.M. (2000) Reproductive performance of purebred Swedish landrace and Swedish Yorkshire sows: II. Effect of mating type, weaning-to-firstservice interval and lactation length. *Acta Agric. Scand. A Anim. Sci.*, 50(3): 217–224.
- Tantasuparuk, W., Lundeheim, N., Dalin, A.M., Kunavongkrit, A. and Einarsson, S. (2000) Effects of lactation length and weaning-to-service interval on subsequent farrowing rate and litter size in Landrace and Yorkshire sows in Thailand. *Theriogenology*, 54(9): 1525–1536.
- Tantasuparuk, W., Lundeheim, N., Dalin, A.M., Kunavongkrit, A. and Einarsson, S. (2001b) Weaning-to-service interval in primiparous sows and its relationship with longevity and piglet production. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 69(2): 155–162.
- Poleze, E., Bernardi, M.L., Amaral Filha, W.S., Wentz, I. and Bortolozzo, F.P. (2006) Consequences of variation in weaning-to-estrus interval on reproductive performance of swine females. *Livest. Sci.*, 103(1–2): 124–130.
- Liu, K., Zhang, L., Xu, X., Song, M., Ding, H., Xiao, L., Wen, J., Zhou, C., Bai, J. and Liu, Y. (2025) Lactational high weight loss impairs follicular development by causing mitochondrial dysfunction of ovarian cells in sows and mitigated by butyrate supplement. *J. Adv. Res.*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2025.01.050.
- Bertoldo, M., Grupen, C.G., Thomson, P.C., Evans, G. and Holyoake, P.K. (2009) Identification of sow-specific risk factors for late pregnancy loss during the seasonal infertility period in pigs. *Theriogenology*, 72(3): 393–400.
- 25. Vesseur, P.C., Kemp, B. and Den Hatog, L.A. (1994) Factors affecting the weaning-to-estrus interval in the sow. *J. Anim. Physiol. A Anim. Nutr.*, 72: 225–233.
- Leite, C.D., Lui, J.F., Albuquerque, L.G. and Alves, D.N. (2011) Environmental and genetic factors affecting the weaning-estrus interval in sows. *Genet. Mol. Res.*, 10(4): 2692–2701.
- 27. Nam, N.H. and Sukon, P. (2025) Factors associated with weaning to service interval in the sow. *Int. J. Vet. Sci.*, 14(3): 565–570.
- Sterning, M., Rydhmer, L., Eliasson, L., Einarsson, S. and Anderson, K. (1990) A study on primiparous sows of the ability to show standing oestrus and to ovulate after weaning. Influences of loss of body weight and backfat during lactation and of litter size, litter weight gain and season. *Acta Vet. Scand.*, 31(2): 227–236.
- Alexopoulos, C., Karagiannidis, A., Kritas, S.K., Boscos, C., Georgoulakis, I.E. and Kyriakis, S.C. (2001) Field evaluation of a bioregulator containing live Bacillus cereus spores on health status and performance of sows and their litters. J. Vet. Med. A Physiol. Pathol. Clin. Med., 48(3): 137–145.

- 30. Thaker, M.Y.C. and Bilkei, G. (2005) Lactation weight loss influences subsequent reproductive performance of sows. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 88(3–4): 309–318.
- 31. Tantasuparuk, W., Dalin, A., Lundeheim, N., Kunavongkrit, A. and Einarsson, S. (2001a) Body weight loss during lactation and its influence on weaning-to-service interval and ovulation rate in Landrace and Yorkshire sows in the tropical environment of Thailand. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 65(3–4): 273–281.
- Nam, N.H. and Sukon, P. (2023) Mutual relationship between litter size and weaning to first service interval in the sow. *Int. J. Vet. Sci.*, 12(5): 672–675.
- Sterning, M., Rydhmer, L. and Eliasson-Selling, L. (1998) Relationships between age at puberty and interval from weaning to estrus and between estrus signs at puberty and after the first weaning in pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 76(2): 353–359.
- 34. Iida, R., Piñeiro, C. and Koketsu, Y. (2021) Timing and temperature thresholds of heat stress effects on fertility performance of different parity sows in Spanish herds. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 99(7): 1–11.
- Iida, R. and Koketsu, Y. (2013) Quantitative associations between outdoor climate data and weaning-to-first-mating interval or adjusted 21-day litter weights during summer in Japanese swine breeding herds. *Livest. Sci.*, 152(2–3): 253–260.
- 36. Zak, L., Foxcroft, G.R., Aherne, F.X. and Kirkwood, R.N. (2008) Role of luteinizing hormone in primiparous sow responses to split weaning. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.*, 43(4): 445–450.
- 37. Serenius, T., Stalder, K.J. and Fernando, R.L. (2008) Genetic associations of sow longevity with age at first farrowing, number of piglets weaned and wean to insemination interval in the Finnish Landrace swine population. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 86(12): 3324–3329.
- 38. Yatabe, Y., Iida, R., Piñeiro, C. and Koketsu, Y. (2019) Recurrence patterns and lifetime performance

- of parity 1 sows in breeding herds with different weaning-to-first-mating intervals. *Porcine Health Manag.*, 5: 15.
- Koketsu, Y. and Dial, G.D. (1997b) Factors influencing the postweaning reproductive performance of sows on commercial farms. *Theriogenology*, 47(7): 1445–1461.
- 40. Guo, J.Y., Sun, Y., DeDecker, A.E., Coffey, M.T. and Kim, S.W. (2019) Effect of suckling intensity of primiparous sows on production performance during current and subsequent parities1. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 97(12): 4845–4854.
- 41. Rojkittikhun, T., Einarsson, S., Uvnäs-Moberg, K. and Edqvist, L.E. (1993) Body weight loss during lactation in relation to energy and protein metabolism in standard-fed primiparous sows. *Zentralbl. Veterinarmed. A*, 40(4): 249–257.
- 42. Koketsu, Y., Dial, G.D., Pettigrew, J.E., Xue, J., Yang, H. and Lucia, T. (1998) Influence of lactation length and feed intake on reproductive performance and blood concentrations of glucose, insulin and luteinizing hormone in primiparous sows. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 52: 153–163.
- 43. Knox, R.V. (2023) Follicle development in pigs: State of the art. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.*, 90(7): 480–490.
- Costermans, N.G.J., Soede, N.M., Van Tricht, F., Blockland, M., Kemp, B., Keijer, J. and Teerds, K.J. (2020) Follicular fluid steroid profile in sows: Relationship to follicle size and oocyte quality. *Biol. Reprod.*, 102(3): 740–749.
- 45. Mabry, J., Matt S. Culbertson, M. and Reeves, D. (1996) Effects of lactation length on weaning-to-first-service interval, first-service farrowing rate and subsequent litter size. *J. Swine Health Prod.*, 4(4): 185–188.
- Tarocco, C., De Rensis, F., Kirwood, R. and Yang, R. (2000) Effect of split-weaning interval on return to estrus and sow fertility. Swine Health Prod., 8(5): 221–223.
